

The Impact of Past Epidemics on Future Disease Dynamics: Supplementary Information

Shweta Bansal*[†], Lauren Ancel Meyers^{‡§}

October 8, 2009

1 Supplementary Methods

1.1 The Perfect Partial Immunity Model

1.1.1 Derivation of the degree distribution for the extended residual network model

The full derivation for the degree distribution of the extended residual network model proceeds as follows:

The extended residual network consists of the uninfected nodes of the previous epidemic, a proportion α of the infected nodes of the previous epidemic, and all the edges joining them. Thus, the degree distribution for the extended residual network is given by

$$p_2(k) = \frac{p_2^{uninfected}(k) + \alpha p_2^{infected}(k)}{\sum_j p_1(j) \eta_1(j) + \alpha \sum_j p_1(j) (1 - \eta_1(j))} \quad (1)$$

The fraction of nodes that remained uninfected in the first epidemic and have k_r contacts with other nodes in the extended residual network can be found by

$$p_2^{uninfected}(k) = \sum_{\kappa \geq k} p_1(\kappa) \eta_\kappa p_2(k|\kappa) \quad (2)$$

where $p_2(k|\kappa)$ is the probability that a node in the extended residual network will have degree k given that it had a degree of κ in the original network. This conditional distribution can be calculated as

*Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, The Pennsylvania State University, 208 Mueller Lab, University Park PA 16802

[†]Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

[‡]Section of Integrative Biology and Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station, C0930, Austin, TX 78712, USA

[§]Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA

$$p_2(k|k_{init} = \kappa) = \binom{\kappa}{k} (u_1 + (1 - u_1)\alpha)^\kappa ((1 - u_1)(1 - \alpha))^{\kappa - k} \quad (3)$$

as discussed in the main text. Following Bayes rule, $p_2^{uninfected}(k)$ is then the sum of the product of the probabilities that a node in the extended residual network has degree k_r given that it had original degree κ , ($p_2(k|\kappa)$), the probability that the node of original degree κ was uninfected in the first epidemic, $\eta_1(\kappa)$, and the probability that the node had original degree κ , ($p_1(\kappa)$). In a similar way, the fraction of nodes that were infected in the first epidemic and have k contacts with other nodes in the extended residual network can be calculated as:

$$p_2^{infected}(k) = \sum_{\kappa \geq k_r} p_1(\kappa) (1 - \eta_1(\kappa)) p_2(k|\kappa) \quad (4)$$

Thus, by substituting equations 2 and 4 into equation 1, we have:

$$p_2(k) = \frac{\sum_{\kappa \geq k} p_1(\kappa) \eta_1(\kappa) p_2(k|k_{init} = \kappa) + \alpha \sum_{\kappa \geq k} p_1(\kappa) (1 - \eta_1(\kappa)) p_2(k|k_{init} = \kappa)}{\sum_j p_1(j) \eta_1(j) + \alpha \sum_j p_1(j) (1 - \eta_1(j))} \quad (5)$$

And lastly, by substituting equations 3 into equation 5, we have:

$$p_2(k) = \frac{\sum_{\kappa \geq k} p_1(\kappa) \eta_1(\kappa) \binom{\kappa}{k} (u_1 + (1 - u_1)\alpha)^\kappa [(1 - u_1)(1 - \alpha)]^{\kappa - k} + \alpha \sum_{\kappa \geq k} p_1(\kappa) (1 - \eta_1(\kappa)) \binom{\kappa}{k} (u_1 + (1 - u_1)\alpha)^\kappa [(1 - u_1)(1 - \alpha)]^{\kappa - k}}{\sum_j p_1(j) \eta_1(j) + \alpha \sum_j p_1(j) (1 - \eta_1(j))}.$$

1.1.2 Examples of the degree distribution of the extended residual network

Figure 1 shows examples of the degree distribution of the extended residual network for networks of type Poisson, exponential and scale-free at three values of α . We note that the degree distribution for $\alpha = 1$ is identical to the degree distribution for the original contact network, and that as α decreases, the population becomes more homogeneous.

1.2 The Leaky Partial Immunity Model

To find the size of an epidemic, we find the size of a cluster of infected nodes attached to a node. We define the probability generating functions for the outbreak (cluster) size distribution starting from an A and B node, respectively, as:




Figure 1: We show examples of the degree of the degree distribution of the extended residual network for networks of type Poisson, exponential, and scale-free at three values of α .

$$F_A(x, y; T_{AA}, T_{AB}) = \sum P_{rs} x^r y^s$$

$$G_B(x, y; T_{AA}, T_{AB}) = \sum Q_{rs} x^r y^s$$

where x counts the number of A nodes in the cluster and y counts the number of B nodes in the cluster. To solve for F_A and G_B , we find F_{AA}, F_{BA} , the PGFs for the size distribution of an outbreak starting from an (infected) node of type A which has been reached by following an edge from an (infected) type $A(B)$ node, G_{AB}, G_{BB} , and the PGFs for the size distribution of an outbreak starting from an (infected) node of type A which has been reached by following an edge from an (infected) type $A(B)$ node. Following the recursive logic of the derivations in [Newman, 2002], F_{AA} and the other excess cluster size PGFs are given by the self-referential equations:

$$F_{AA}(x, y; \{T\}) = x f_{AA}(F_{AA}(x, y; \{T\}), G_{AB}(x, y; \{T\}); T_{AA}, T_{AB}),$$

$$F_{BA}(x, y; \{T\}) = x f_{BA}(F_{BA}(x, y; \{T\}), G_{BB}(x, y; \{T\}); T_{AA}, T_{AB}),$$

$$G_{AB}(x, y; \{T\}) = y g(F_{BA}(x, y; \{T\}), G_{BB}(x, y; \{T\}); T_{BA}, T_{BB}),$$

$$G_{BB}(x, y; \{T\}) = y g(F_{BA}(x, y; \{T\}), G_{BB}(x, y; \{T\}); T_{BA}, T_{BB}).$$

Similarly, we find that

$$F_A(x, y; T_{AA}, T_{AB}) = x f_A(F_{AA}(x, y; \{T\}), G_{AB}(x, y; \{T\}); T_{AA}, T_{AB}),$$

$$G_B(x, y; T_{BA}, T_{BB}) = y g_B(F_{BA}(x, y; \{T\}), G_{BB}(x, y; \{T\}); T_{BA}, T_{BB}).$$

1.2.1 Size of a small outbreak

To find the size of an epidemic, we begin by solving for the expected outbreak size starting from an infected node. The expected number of A nodes in an outbreak starting from an A node is:

$$s_{AA} = \frac{\partial F_A}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} = 1 + \left(T_{AA} \frac{\partial f_A}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} \frac{\partial F_{AA}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} + T_{AB} \frac{\partial f_A}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} \frac{\partial G_{AB}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} \right) \quad (6)$$

Similarly, the other three types of expected outbreak sizes can be calculated as:

$$s_{AB} = \frac{\partial F_A}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} = \left(T_{AA} \frac{\partial f_A}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} \frac{\partial F_{AA}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} + T_{AB} \frac{\partial f_A}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} \frac{\partial G_{AB}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1, y=1} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$s_{BA} = \frac{\partial G_B}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \left(T_{BA} \frac{\partial g_B}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} \frac{\partial F_{BA}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} + T_{BB} \frac{\partial g_B}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} \frac{\partial G_{BB}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} \right)$$

$$s_{BAB} = \frac{\partial G_B}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \left(T_{BA} \frac{\partial g_B}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} \frac{\partial F_{BA}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} + T_{BB} \frac{\partial g_B}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} \frac{\partial G_{BB}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} \right)$$

To solve for the mean outbreak sizes above, we take partial derivatives of the excess outbreak size distribution PGFs:

$$\frac{\partial F_{AA}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{1 - T_{BB} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y}}{\chi} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial G_{AB}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{\left(1 - T_{AA} \left(\frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_{AB}}{\partial x} \right) \right) \left(T_{BB} T_{BA} \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial x} + T_{BA} \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial x} \left(1 - T_{BB} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y} \right) \right)}{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{BA}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{\left(1 - T_{AA} \left(\frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_{AB}}{\partial x} \right) \right) \left(1 - T_{BB} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y} \right)}{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial G_{BB}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{\left(1 - T_{AA} \left(\frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_{AB}}{\partial x} \right) \right) \left(T_{BA} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial x} \right)}{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{AA}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{T_{AB} \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial y} \left(1 - T_{BB} \left(\frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial y} \right) \right)}{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial G_{AB}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{\left(1 - T_{AA} \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} \right) \left(1 - T_{BB} \left(\frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial y} \right) \right)}{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{BA}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{\left(T_{AB} \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial y} \right) \left(1 - T_{AA} \left(\frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_{AB}}{\partial x} \right) \right) \left(1 - T_{BB} \left(\frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial y} \right) \right)}{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial G_{BB}}{\partial y} \Big|_{x=1,y=1} = \frac{\left(1 - T_{AA} \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} \right) - \left(T_{AB} \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial y} \right) \left(T_{BA} \left(\frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial x} \right) \left(1 - T_{AA} \left(\frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial y} \right) \right) \right)}{\chi}$$

where,

$$\chi = \alpha\beta + \gamma \quad (9)$$

with

$$\alpha = \left(1 - T_{AA} \left(\frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_{AB}}{\partial x}\right)\right) \left(-T_{AB}T_{BA} \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial y}\right)$$

$$\beta = \left(T_{BB} \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial x} \left(1 - T_{AA} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y}\right)\right)$$

$$\gamma = \left(1 - T_{AA} \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x}\right) \left(1 - T_{BB} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y}\right)$$

Then, substituting the set of partial derivatives above (equations # 8) into equation 6 and 7 above yields equations for the expected small outbreak sizes.

1.2.2 Threshold Conditions

The expected small outbreak sizes above all diverge when $\chi = 0$. Thus this is a divergence condition that can be used to solve for threshold values on T_{AA} , T_{AB} , T_{BA} , and T_{BB} . In our partial immunity model, we assume that $T_{AA} = T_2$, $T_{AB} = T_{BA} = T_2\alpha$, and $T_{BB} = T_2\alpha^2$. Combining the threshold condition $\chi = 0$ with equation 9 above as well as the values for T_{AA} , T_{AB} , T_{BA} and T_{BB} in terms of T_2 and α , we use numerical polynomial root finding to solve for T_{2c} , the root of the following equation:

$$\alpha\beta + \gamma = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - T_2 \left(\frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g_{AB}}{\partial x}\right)\right) \left(-T_2^2\alpha^2 \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial y}\right) \left(T_2\alpha^2 \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_{BA}}{\partial x} \left(1 - T_2 \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y}\right)\right) + \dots \\ \dots \left(1 - T_2 \frac{\partial f_{AA}}{\partial x}\right) \left(1 - T_2\alpha^2 \frac{\partial g_{BB}}{\partial y}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the epidemic threshold for the individual-level immunity model is:

$$(T_{2c})_{leaky} = T_{2c} e_{UU} + \frac{T_{2c}}{\alpha} e_{UI} + \frac{T_{2c}}{\alpha} e_{IU} + \frac{T_{2c}}{\alpha^2} e_{II}$$

where, e_{UU} , e_{UI} , e_{IU} and e_{II} are the proportion of all edges in the network that are from uninfected (infected) to infected (uninfected) nodes, respectively, and can be calculated as

$$e_{UU} = U \frac{\frac{\partial f_A}{\partial x}}{\sum k p_k},$$

$$e_{UI} = U \frac{\frac{\partial f_A}{\partial y}}{\sum k p_k},$$

$$e_{IU} = I \frac{\frac{\partial g_B}{\partial x}}{\sum k p_k},$$

$$e_{II} = I \frac{\frac{\partial g_B}{\partial y}}{\sum k p_k}.$$

Here, U and I are the fraction of uninfected and infected nodes in the previous epidemic, respectively, and can be calculated as $U = \sum p_k (1 - T_1 + T_1 u_1)^k$ and $I = 1 - U$, where p_k is the degree distribution of the original contact network, T_1 is the transmissibility of the pathogen from the first epidemic, and u_1 is the probability of following a random edge in the network after the first epidemic and reaching an uninfected node.

1.2.3 Structure of the two-type network

We find the joint degree distributions for a two-type network where nodes of type A are uninfected nodes and nodes of type B are infected nodes from the previous epidemic. If we define p_{ij} to be the degree distribution for uninfected (type A) nodes, and i and j to be the uninfected-degree (A -degree) and infected-degree (B -degree) for these nodes, then we can calculate p_{ij} as:

$$p_{ij} = p_{k_o} \eta_{k_o} \binom{k_o}{i} u_1^i (1 - u_1)^j$$

where the probability of an uninfected node have uninfected-degree i and infected-degree j is equal to the product of the probability that the node had original degree k_o (which is equal to p_{k_o}), the probability that the node of degree k_o was uninfected (which is equal to η_{k_o}) and the binomial probability that i of the k_o edges of this node are connected to other uninfected nodes (which occurs with probability u_1) and the remaining j of the k_o edges of this node are connected to infected nodes (which occurs with probability $(1 - u_1)$). Similarly, we can calculate q_{ij} , the probability that a previously infected node will have uninfected degree i and infected degree j :

$$q_{ij} = p_{k_o} (1 - \eta_{k_o}) \binom{k_o}{i} u_1^i (1 - u_1)^j$$

2 Supplementary Analysis

2.1 Results on a Demographic Contact Network

In Figure 2, we compare the predictions for size of second epidemic from our two analytical models to simulations for a network with non-random structure. The network is made up of 2500 nodes that represent individuals and edges represent

disease-causing contacts, and is generated by an activity-based contact network generator for data from the urban area of Vancouver, Canada (Meyers et al, 2005.)




Figure 2: Expected size of second epidemic for the perfect and leaky partial immunity analytical models (lines) and simulations (markers) for a realistic network based on empirical contact patterns in the urban area of Vancouver, Canada.

2.2 Differences between Partial and Leaky Immunity Models

As discussed in the main text, the perfect and leaky models of partial immunity lead to differing epidemic consequences (as measured by the expected size of a large epidemic in a subsequent season). In Figure 3, we consider the difference in S_2 , the size of the second season epidemic, as predicted by the perfect immunity model and the leaky immunity model for the Poisson network type. The figure shows the equivalence of the predictions for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$. The red parts of the plots represent areas where $(S_2)_{leaky}$ is smaller than $(S_2)_{perfect}$, whereas the blue parts represent areas of the parameter space where $(S_2)_{perfect}$ is smaller.




Figure 3: Differences in the expected size of a subsequent epidemic for the perfect and leaky immunity models in the ranges $T_2 \in [0.0, 0.5]$ and $\alpha \in [0.0, 1.0]$ for a Poisson network of mean degree 10.




Figure 4: The reinvasion threshold for a second pathogen into a population with perfect partial immunity for a Poisson-distributed and power law-distributed random network of mean degree 10.

2.3 Reinvasion Criteria for the Perfect Immunity Model

In Figure 4 we consider the reinvasion threshold as measured by T_{2c} for the perfect partial immunity model in terms of T_1 and α .